Neuroimaging Article Reexecution and Reproduction Assesment System

Horea-Ioan Ioanas¹ Austin Macdonald¹ Yaroslav O. Halchenko¹ ¹Center for Open Neuroscience, Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, Dartmouth College

Abstract — The value of research articles is increas-1 ingly contingent on the results of complex data ana-2 lyses which substantiate their claims. Compared to data 3 production, data analysis more readily lends itself to a 4 higher standard of both full transparency and repeated 5 operator-independent execution. This higher standard 6 can be approached via fully reexecutable research out-7 puts, which contain the entire instruction set for end-8 to-end generation of an entire article solely from the 9 earliest feasible provenance point, in a programatically 10 executable format. In this study, we make use of a peer-11 reviewed neuroimaging article which provides complete 12 but fragile reexecution instructions, as a starting point to 13 formulate a new reexecution system which is both robust 14 and portable. We render this system modular as a core 15 design aspect, so that reexecutable article code, data, 16 and environment specifications could potentially be sub-17 stituted or adapted. In conjunction with this system, 18 which forms the demonstrative product of this study, 19 we detail the core challenges with full article reexecution 20 and specify a number of best practices which permitted 21 us to mitigate them. We further show how the capabil-22 ities of our system can subsequently be used to provide 23 reproducibility assessments, both via simple statistical 24 metrics and by visually highlighting divergent elements 25 for human inspection. We argue that fully reexecut-26 able articles are thus a feasible best practice, which can 27 greatly enhance the understanding of data analysis vari-28 ability and the trust in results. Lastly, we comment at 29 length on the outlook for reexecutable research outputs 30 and encourage re-use and derivation of the system pro-31 duced herein. 32

Background

34 **Reexecutable Research**

Independent verification of published results is a cru-35 cial step for establishing and maintaining trust in 36 shared scientific understanding [5]. The basic feas-37 ibility of *de novo* research output generation from the 38 earliest recorded data provenance is known as reex-39 ecutability, and has remained largely unexplored as 40 distinct phenomenon in the broader sphere of research 41 reproducibility. While the scope of *reexecution* is nar-42 rower than that of *reproduction*, it constitutes a more 43

well-defined and therefore tractable issue in improv-44 ing the quality and sustainability of research. In all 45 cases, reexecutability increases the feasibility of re-46 production assessments. Further, in the case of com-47 plex analysis processes with vast parameter spaces, 48 reexecutability is a prerequisite for detailed reprodu-49 cibility assessments. Lastly, reexecution constitutes a 50 capability in and of itself, with ample utility in edu-51 cation, training, and resource reuse for novel research 52 purposes (colloquially, "hacking") — which may ac-53 crue even in the absence of accurate result reproduc-54 tion. 55

Free and Open Source Software [27] has significantly permeated the world of research, and it is presently not uncommon for researchers to publish part of the analysis instructions used in generating published results under free and open licenses. However, such analysis instructions are commonly disconnected from the research output document, which is manually constructed from static inputs. Notably, without fully reexecutable instructions, data analysis outputs and the positive claims which they support are not verifiably linked to the methods which generate them.

Reexecutability is an emergent topic in research, 68 with a few extant efforts attempting to provide solu-69 tions and tackle associated challenges. Such efforts 70 stem both from journals and independent research-71 ers interested in the capabilities which reexecutable 72 research processes offer to the ongoing development 73 of their work. Among these, an effort by the eLife 74 journal [24] provides dynamic article figures based 75 on the top-most data processing output and execut-76 able code conforming to journal standards. Neur-77 oLibre [23] provides a Jupyter Notebook based online 78 platform for publishing executable books along with a 79 selection of reexecutability assets, namely code, data, 80 and a reexecution runtime. Independent researcher 81 efforts offer more comprehensive and flexible solu-82 tions, yet provide reference implementations which 83 are either applied to comparatively simple analysis 84 processes [7] or tackle complex processes, but assume 85 environment management capabilities which may not 86 be widespread [14]. 87

In order to optimally leverage extant efforts pertaining to full article reexecution and in order to test

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

reexecutability in the face of high task complexity, 90 we have selected a novel neuroimaging study, identi-91 fied as OPFVTA based on author naming conventions 92 [16]. The 2022 article is accompanied by a program-93 matic workflow via which it can be fully regenerated 94 - based solely on raw data, data analysis instruc-95 tions, and the natural-language manuscript text -96 and which is initiated via a simple executable script 97 in the ubiquitous GNU Bash [26] command language. 98 The reexecution process in this effort relies on an 99 emerging infrastructure approach, RepSeP [14], also 100 in use by other articles, thus providing a larger scope 101 for conclusions that can be drawn from its study. 102

103 Data Analysis

One of the hallmarks of scientific data analysis is its 104 intricacy — resulting from the manifold confounds 105 which need to be accounted for, as well as from the 106 breadth of questions which researchers may want to 107 address. Data analysis can be subdivided into data 108 preprocessing and data evaluation. The former con-109 sists of data cleaning, reformatting, standardization, 110 and sundry processes which aim to make data suit-111 able for evaluation. Data evaluation consists of vari-112 ous types of statistical modeling, commonly applied 113 in sequence at various hierarchical steps. 114

The OPFVTA article, which this study uses as 115 an example, primarily studies effective connectivity, 116 which is resolved via stimulus-evoked neuroimaging 117 analysis. The stimulus-evoked paradigm is wide-118 spread across the field of neuroimaging, and thus the 119 data analysis workflow (both in terms of data pro-120 cessing and data evaluation) provides significant ana-121 logy to numerous other studies. The data evaluation 122 step for this sort of study is subdivided into "level 123 one" (i.e. within-subject) analysis, and "level two" 124 (i.e. across-subject) analysis, with the results of the 125 latter being further reusable for higher-level analyses 126 [8]. In the simplest terms, these steps represent iterat-127 ive applications of General Linear Modeling (GLM), 128 at increasingly higher orders of abstraction. 129

Computationally, in the case of the OPFVTA art-130 icle as well as the general case, the various data ana-131 lysis workflow steps are sharply distinguished by their 132 time cost. By far the most expensive element is a 133 substage of data preprocessing known as registration. 134 This commonly relies on iterative gradient descent 135 and can additionally require high-density sampling 136 depending on the feature density of the data. The 137 second most costly step is the first-level GLM, the cost 138 of which emerges from to the high number of voxels 139 modeled individually for each subject and session. 140

The impact of these time costs on reexecution is
that rapid-feedback development and debugging can
be stifled if the reexecution is monolithic. While ascertaining the effect of changes in registration instructions on the final result unavoidably necessitate the
reexecution of registration and all subsequent steps
determine the art-

icle text, or adapting figure styles, should not. To 148 this end the reference article employs a hierarchical 149 Bash-script structure, consisting of two steps. The 150 first step, consisting in data preprocessing and all 151 data evaluation steps which operate in voxel space, is 152 handled by one dedicated sub-script. The second step 153 handles document-specific element generation, i.e. in-154 line statistics, figure, and TeX-based article gener-155 ation. The nomenclature to distinguish these two 156 phases introduced by the authors is "low-iteration" 157 and "high-iteration", respectively [14]. 158

Analysis dependency tracking — i.e. monitoring ¹⁵⁹ whether files required for the next hierarchical step ¹⁶⁰ have changed, and thus whether that step needs to ¹⁶¹ be reexecuted — is handled for the high-iteration analysis script via the RepSeP infrastructure, but not for ¹⁶³ the low-iteration script. ¹⁶⁴

165

Software Dependency Management

Beyond the hierarchically chained data dependencies, 166 which can be considered internal to the study work-167 flow, any data analysis workflow has additional de-168 pendencies in the form of software. This refers to 169 the computational tools leveraged by the workflow 170 which, given the diversity of research applications, 171 may encompass numerous pieces of software. Addi-172 tionally, individual software dependencies commonly 173 come with their own software dependencies, which 174 may in turn have further dependencies, and so on. 175 The resulting network of prerequisites is known as a 176 "dependency graph", and its resolution is commonly 177 handled by a package manager. 178

The OPFVTA article in its original form relies on 179 Portage [2], the package manager of the Gentoo Linux 180 distribution. This package manager offers integration 181 across programming languages, source-based pack-182 age installation, and wide-ranging support for neur-183 oscience software [15]. As such, the dependencies of 184 the target article itself are summarized in a stand-185 ardized format, which is called an ebuild — as if it 186 were any other piece of software. This format is ana-187 logous to the format used to specify dependencies at 188 all further hierarchical levels in the dependency tree. 189 This affords a homogeneous environment for depend-190 ency resolution, as specified by the Package Manager 191 Standard [4]. Additionally, the reference article con-192 textualizes its raw data resource as a dependency, in-193 tegrating data provision in the same network as soft-194 ware provision. 195

While the top-level ebuild (i.e. the direct software 196 dependency requirements of the workflow) is included 197 in the article repository and distributed alongside it, 198 the ebuilds which specify dependencies further down 199 the tree are all distributed via separate repositor-200 ies. These repositories are version controlled, meaning 201 that their state at any time point is documented, and 202 they can thus be restored to represent the environ-203 ment as it would have been generated at any point in 204 the past. 205

206 Software Dependencies

The aforementioned infrastructure is relied upon to 207 provide a full set of widely adopted neuroimaging 208 tools, including but not limited to ANTs [3], nipype 209 [9], FSL [22], AFNI [6], and nilearn [1]. Nipype in 210 particular provides workflow management tools, ren-211 dering the individual sub-steps of the data analysis 212 process open to introspection and isolated reexecu-213 tion. Additionally, the OPFVTA study employs a 214 higher-level workflow package, SAMRI [19, 21], which 215 provides workflows optimized for the preprocessing 216 and evaluation of animal neuroimaging data. 217

218 Containers

Operating system virtualization is a process whereby 219 an ephemeral "guest" environment is started in and 220 may be reused between persistent "host" systems. 221 Virtual machines (VMs), as these "guest" environ-222 ments are called, can thus provide users with envir-223 onments tailored to a workflow, while eschewing the 224 need to otherwise (e.g. manually or via a package 225 manager) provide the tools it requires. Once run-226 ning, VMs are self-contained and isolated from the 227 host, also eliminating the risk of unwanted persistent 228 changes being made to the host environment. Perhaps 229 the most important benefit of virtual isolation is sig-230 nificantly improved security, allowing system admin-231 istrators to safely grant users relatively unrestricted 232 access to large-scale computational capabilities. How-233 ever, VMs can also help mitigate issues arising from 234 package updates by locking a specific dependency res-235 olution state which is known to work as required by a 236 workflow, and distributing that instead of a top-level 237 dependency specification which might resolve differ-238 ently across time. 239

Modern advances in container technology allow the 240 provision of the core benefits of system virtualization, 241 but lighten the associated overhead by making limited 242 use of the host system, specifically the hypervisor. 243 244 Container technology is widespread in industry applications, and many container images are made avail-245 able via public image repositories. While container 246 technology has gained significant popularity specific-247 ally via the Docker toolset, it refers to an overarching 248 effort by numerous organizations, now best represen-249 ted via a Linux Foundation project, the "Open Con-250 tainer Initiative" (OCI). The OCI governing body has 251 produced an open specification for containers, which 252 can be used by various container runtimes and tool-253 sets. Generally, OCI-compliant container images can 254 be executed analogously with Docker, Podman, or 255 other OCI compliant tools. 256

While OCI images are nearly ubiquitous in the software industry, Singularity (recently renamed to Apptainer) is a toolset that was developed specifically for high-performance computing (HPC) and tailored to research environments. A significant adaptation of Singularity to HPC environments is its capability to run without root privileges. However, recent advances 263 in container technology have provided similar capab-264 ilities. Further, Singularity permits the conversion of 265 OCI images into Singularity images, and recent ver-266 sions of Apptainer have also added support for nat-267 ively running OCI containers — thus making reuse 268 of images between the two technologies increasingly 269 convenient. 270

Container technology thus represents a solution to 271 providing stable reusable environments for complex 272 processes, such as the automatic generation of re-273 search articles. In particular, containers provide a 274 convenient way of making advanced package manage-275 ment solutions — as seen in the original OPFVTA 276 article — available to users which may lack them on 277 their host systems. 278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

Results

Repository Structure

In order to improve the reexecution reliability of the OPVFTA article we have constructed a parent repository which leverages Git and DataLad to link all reexecution requirements. This framework uses Git submodules for resource referencing, and DataLad [10] in order to permit Git integration with data resources.

These submodules include the original article, the 287 raw data it operates on, and a reference mouse brain 288 templates package. Additionally, the top-level repos-289 itory directly tracks the code required to coordinate 290 the OPFVTA article reexecution and subsequent gen-291 eration of this article. The code unique to the reex-292 ecution framework consists of container image gen-293 eration and container execution instructions, as well 294 as a Make system for process coordination (fig. 1). 295 This repository structure enhances the original ref-296 erence article by directly linking the data at the re-297 pository level, as opposed to relying on its installa-298 tion via a package manager. Notably, however, the 299 article source code itself is not duplicated or further 300 edited here, but handled as a Git submodule, with 301 all proposed improvements being recorded in the ori-302 ginal upstream repository. The layout constructed for 303 this study thus provides robust provenance tracking 304 and constitutes an implementation of the YODA prin-305 ciples (a recursive acronym for "YODAs Organigram 306 on Data Analysis" [12]). 307

The Make system is structured into a top-level 308 Makefile, which can be used for container image re-309 generation and upload, article reexecution in a con-310 tainerized environment, and meta-article production. 311 There are independent entry points for both *this* and 312 the original article — making both articles reexecut-313 able (fig. 2). Versioning of the original article reexe-314 cution is done via file names (as seen in the outputs/ 315 subdirectories of fig. 1) in order to preserve shell ac-316 cessibility to what are equivalent resources. Version-317 ing of the meta-article is handled via Git, so that the 318 most recent version of the work is unambiguously ex-posed.

The meta-article targets redirect to a Makefile in 321 the article/ subdirectory, which contains this doc-322 ument's human-readable text in T_FX format, along-323 side scripts for generating dynamical elements based 324 on the reexecution results seen in the outputs/ 325 directory. The original article reexecution is 326 provided by two alternative targets, using either the 327 Open Container Initiative standard, or Singularity. 328 Both original article reexecution targets wrap the 329 produce analysis.sh script, which is a thin compat-330 ibility layer accessing the Make system of the original 331 article. This alternative is introduced in order to as-332 sess feasibility as well as potential variability across 333 virtualization infrastructures. 334

Resource Refinement

As a notable step in our article reproduction effort, we 336 have updated resources previously only available as 337 tarballs (i.e. compressed tar archives), to DataLad. 338 This refinement affords both the possibility to cherry-339 pick only required data files from the data archive 340 (as opposed to requiring a full archive download), as 341 well as more fine-grained version tracking capabilit-342 ies. In particular, our work encompassed a re-write 343 of the Mouse Brain Templates package [18] Make sys-344 tem. In its new release [20], developed as part of this 345 study, Mouse Brain Templates now publishes tarballs, 346 as well as DataLad-accessible unarchived individual 347 template files. 348

349 Best Practice Guidelines

As part of this work we have contributed substantial changes to the original OPFVTA repository, based on which we formulate a number of best practice guidelines, highly relevant in the production of reexecutable research outputs.

355 Errors should be fatal more often than not.

By default, programs written in the majority of lan-356 guages (including e.g. Python and C) will exit imme-357 diately when running into an unexpected operation. 358 The POSIX shell and other similar or derived shells, 359 such as Bash and Zsh, behave differently. Their de-360 fault is to continue with execution of the next scripted 361 command, and only exit with a non-zero code when 362 the list of commands is exhausted or the exit com-363 mand is called explicitly. As a result, an execution 364 of the script could continue for hours before it fails, 365 and the original error message might be lost in the 366 flood of output, making it hard or impossible to loc-367 alize and address the original problem. This beha-368 vior can be mitigated by prepending set -e to the 369 respective shell script, which changes the default be-370 havior so that execution is stopped as soon as a com-371 mand exits with an error code. Additionally, shell 372 scripts treat undefined variables as a variable having 373 an empty value, with empty values causing no errors. 374

This can lead to numerous ill-defined behaviors, in-375 cluding a command such as rm -rf "\$PREFIX/" re-376 moving all files on the system if **PREFIX** is not defined. 377 This can be addressed by prepending set -u so that 378 an error is raised and execution is stopped as soon as 379 an undefined variable is referenced. To summarize, 380 we recommend including set -eu at the top of every 381 shell script to guarantee it exits as soon as any com-382 mand fails or an undefined variable is encountered. 383 This is in line with the "Fail Early" principle advoc-384 ated in the ReproNim Reproducible Basics Module 385 [11].386

Avoid assuming or hard-coding absolute paths to resources. 387 Ensuring layout compatibility in different article reex-388 ecution environments is contingent on processes being 389 able to find required code or data. Absolute paths, 390 which are hard-coded into scripts, are likely to not ex-391 ist anywhere but the original execution environment, 392 rendering the scripts non-portable. This problem is 393 best avoided by adhering to YODA principle [12] of 394 being able to reference all needed resources (data, 395 scripts, container images, etc.) under the study dir-396 ectory. Use of relative paths within the study scripts 397 consequently improve their portability. Paths to ex-398 ternal resources (scratch directories or reusable re-399 sources such as atlases) should additionally be para-400 meterized so that they can be controlled via command 401 line options or environment variables. 402

Avoid assuming a directory context for execution.

As previously recommended, resources may be linked 404 via relative paths, which are resolved based on their 405 hierarchical location with the respect to the execution 406 base path. However, scripts could be executed from 407 various locations and not necessarily from the location 408 of the script, thus rendering relative paths fragile. A 409 good way of making script execution more robust is 410 ensuring that they set base execution directories to 411 their respective parent directories. This can be ac-412 complished in POSIX shell scripts by prepending cd 413 "\$(dirname "\$0")". 414

Workflow granularity greatly benefits efficiency.

The high time cost of executing a full analysis work-416 flow given contemporary research complexity and 417 technical capabilities makes debugging errors very 418 time-consuming. Ideally, it should not be neces-419 sary to reexecute the entire workflow for every po-420 tentially resolved error. It is thus beneficial to seg-421 ment the workflow into self-contained steps, which can 422 be executed and inspected independently. Workflows 423 should as a minimum separate such large steps as 424 preprocessing, individual levels of analysis (e.g. per-425 subject vs. whole-population), and article generation. 426 One way to integrate such steps is to formulate a 427 workflow which automatically checks for the presence 428 of results from prior stages, and, if present, proceeds 429 to the next stage without triggering prior processes. 430 This property is known as itempotence and is again 431

403

415



Figure 1: The directory topology of the new reexecution system nests all resources and includes a Make system for process coordination. Depicted is the directory tree topology of the repository coordinating OPFVTA reexecution. Nested directories are represented by nested boxes, and Git submodules are highlighted in orange. The article reexecution PDF results are highlighted in light green, and the PDF of the resulting meta-article (i.e. this article) is highlighted in light blue.



Figure 2: The reexecution system encompasses both the Original Article and Meta-Article as independent Make targets. Depicted is the reexecution system workflow, with two reexecution entry points, the "Original Article and the "Meta-Article" (i.e. *this* article, which also performs the reproduction assessment). Notably, for the generation of the meta-article, the Original Article can be executed, or not — the meta-article will dynamically include all reexecution results which are published, as well as all which are locally produced. The article reexecution PDF results are highlighted in light green, and the PDF of the resulting meta-article (i.e. this article) is highlighted in light blue. Optional nodes (such as fetching a container image for meta-article reexecution) are faded gray.

- advocated by the YODA principles, and implemented
 in this article via both the Make system, as well as
- ⁴³³ internally by the original article's usage of NiPype.

435 Container image size should be kept small.

Due to a lack of persistency, addressing issues in con-436 tainer images requires an often time-consuming re-437 building process. One way to mitigate this is to make 438 containers as small as possible. In particular, when 439 using containers, it is advisable to not provide data 440 via a package manager or via manual download inside 441 the build script. Instead, data provisioning should be 442 handled outside of the container image and resources 443 should be bind-mounted after download to a persist-444 ent location on the host machine. 445

⁴⁴⁶ Resources should be bundled into a superdataset.

As external resources might change, it is beneficial 447 to use data version control system, such as git-annex 448 and DataLad. The git submodule mechanism permits 449 bundling multiple repositories with clear provenance 450 and versioning information, thus following the mod-451 ularity principle promoted by YODA. Moreover, git-452 annex supports multiple data sources and data integ-453 rity verification, thus increasing the reliability of a 454 resource in view of providers potentially removing its 455 availability. 456

457 Containers should fit the scope of the underlying workflow 458 steps.

In order to constrain the workload of rebuilding a con-459 tainer image, it is advisable to not create a bundled 460 container image for sufficiently self-contained sub-461 steps of the workflow. For example, as seen in this 462 study, the article reexecution container image should 463 be distinct from container images required for produ-464 cing a summary meta-article. Conversely, if sub-steps 465 share toolkit requirements, containers can be re-used 466 between different steps by leveraging different *entry* 467 *points* to the same target. 468

⁴⁶⁹ Do not write debug-relevant data inside the container.

Debug-relevant data, such as intermediary data pro-470 cessing steps and debugging logs should not be deleted 471 by the workflow or written to an ephemeral location 472 inside the container, but should instead be written to 473 persistent storage. When using some container tech-474 nologies, such as Docker, files written to hard-coded 475 paths will disappear once the container is removed. 476 As numerous workflow files beyond the main data out-477 put may be relevant for debugging, they should not 478 be lost. In order to achieve this, intermediary and de-479 bugging outputs should be written to paths which are 480 bind-mounted to persistent directories on the parent 481 system, from which they can be freely inspected. 482

483 Scratch directories should be parameterized.

Complex workflows commonly generate large amounts
of scratch data — intermediary data processing steps,
whose main utility is being read by subsequent steps
or consulted for debugging. If these data are written to the same hard-coded path on the host sys-

tem, multiple reexecutions will lead to race condi-489 tions, compromising one or multiple instances of the 490 process. This can be avoided by parameterizing the 491 path and/or setting a default value based on a unique 492 string (e.g. generated from the timestamp). When 493 using containers, this should be done at the container 494 instantiation level, as the relevant path for such po-495 tential conflicts is the path on the parent system, and 496 not the path inside the container. 497

Dependency versions inside container environments should be frozen as soon as feasible.

498

499

521

The need for full image rebuilding means that assur-500 ing consistent functionality in view of frequent up-501 dates is more difficult for containers than interact-502 ively managed environments. This is compounded by 503 the frequent and often API-breaking releases of many 504 scientific software packages. While dependency ver-505 sion freezing is not without cost in terms of assuring 506 continued real-life functionality for an article, it can 507 aid stable re-execution if this is done as soon as all re-508 quired processing capabilities are provided. How this 509 is accomplished differs greatly based on the package 510 manager used inside the container. Gentoo's Port-511 age package manager allows freezing versions both 512 explicitly, or — as done in this study — by check-513 ing out a specific commit of the dependency tree, in 514 view of which the package manager will resolve the 515 same versions. Other distributions (such as Debian 516 and Neurodebian), or language-specific package man-517 agers (such as Python's pip), provide analogous func-518 tionality, via e.g. nd_freeze or pip freeze, respect-519 ively. 520

Reproduction Quality

As a top-level view of reexecution results we have produced a simple infrastructure to analyze reproduction quality. This provides both quality control for successful reexecution as well as a showcase of how automatic article reexecutability can be leveraged to evaluate *reproducibility* at a glance.

For this purpose we compare the difference between 528 the Historical Manuscript Record — a product of the 529 original executable article generation — and multiple 530 results generated via the new reexecution system. Reproduction differences between the article versions are 532 extracted by evaluating rasterized page-wise PDF differences (fig. 3). 534

This overview shows a consistent minimum baseline 535 of differing pixels between reexecutions, around 10^{-4} 536 (i.e. 0.01%), best seen in pages 6 to 10. When ex-537 amined closely (fig. 4a), this difference corresponds to 538 the modified date of the Historical Manuscript Record 539 (2022-07-25) and the new reexecution system results 540 (2023-..). While otherwise inconsequential, this dif-541 ference provides a good litmus test for whether the 542 article was indeed reexecuted or simply preserved, 543 and should be expected throughout all comparisons. 544 Throughout other pages we see difference percentages 545



Figure 3: Page-wise visual differences between the Historical Manuscript Record and new reexecution system outputs help identify overall reproduction fidelity, and identify pages with noteworthy differences. Depicted are rasterized document differences, weighted 1 for changes in any pixel color channel, and rounded to four decimal points. Error bars represent the 95th percentile confidence interval.

which are broadly consistent across reexecutions and 546 environments, but vary from page to page over almost 547 2 degrees of magnitude. Upon inspection, more vari-548 able but comparatively lower-percentage differences 549 (pages 4 and 5, detail depicted in fig. 4b) are re-550 vealed as text differences. This is caused by the tar-551 get article being fully reexecuted, including the reex-552 ecution of inline statistic summaries (e.g. p and F-553 values). Higher-percentage differences (detail depic-554 ted in fig. 4c) correspond to dynamically generated 555 data figures, in which the high variability of non-556 deterministic preprocessing results in changes to the 557 majority of figure pixels. 558

Notably, inspecting these differences reveals a 559 strong coherence at the qualitative evaluation level in 560 spite of high quantitative variability. This coherence 561 manifests in the statements from the original article 562 remaining valid with regard to statistical summar-563 ies which emerge from de novo data processing (as 564 seen in 4b, 4c). This is particularly true for p-values, 565 the magnitude of which can vary substantially at the 566 lower tail of the distribution without impacting qual-567 itative statements. 568

Further, we find that text differences are well localized, as a function of the original article implementing fixed decimal rounding and magnitude notation for statistical outputs (fig. 4). Thus, changes in inline statistic values do not impact text length and do not generally propagate to subsequent lines via word shifts, where they would be recorded as false positives.



(a) The date change is correctly identified throughout the document, as seen in this example from page 1 of the article.



(b) Statistical summary values change, but maintain qualitative evaluation brackets with respect to e.g. p-value thresholds, as seen in this example from page 4 of the article.



(c) In regression analysis, data points are highly variable, the slope and significance remain constant, as seen in this example from page 14 of the article.

Figure 4: The article differences showcase expected quantitative and metadata variability, while maintaining overall validity of qualitative statements. The figures are extracted from a full article diff, with tinted highlighting (blue for the Historical Manuscript Record, and orange for the new reexecution system result).

576 Methods

577 Data Acquisition

No new animal data was recorded. The data forming the substrate for the reproduction analysis was
produced by extracting the output article.pdf files
from iterative reexecutions of the original article code.

582 Computing Environments

Article reexecution was performed on a Debian 6.1.8-1 (2023-01-29) system using the x86_64 architecture, inside containers handled by Podman version 4.3.1 and Singularity version 3.10.3. Git version 2.39.2 and DataLad version 0.19.2 were used for data and code orchestration. The top-level make targets were executed via Bash version 5.2.15.

590 Data Sources

The raw data for the article was sourced in BIDS form from Zenodo, an open data repository, via the identifier specified by the original publication [17]. Mouse brain templates were sourced via a Git repository, "Mouse Brain Templates", which was updated as part of this study to allow individual file fetching [20].

597 Discussion

In this article and its accompanying source code [13] 598 we present an automated workflow for full, end-to-599 end article reexecution. We generate the full research 600 communication output (including inline statistics, fig-601 ures, and brain maps) from solely the raw data and 602 automatically executable code. This work substanti-603 ates the feasibility of article reexecution as a process, 604 based on a real-life peer-reviewed study example. To 605 this end, we also detail important and transferable 606 principles, and document common pitfalls in creating 607 a reexecution workflow. Lastly, we leverage the cap-608 abilities of this reexecution system in order to provide 609 a simple reproducibility assessment, showcasing the 610 611 relevance of reexecutable research outputs for investigating reproducibility. 612

613 Reexecutability

We argue that reexecutability is a core aspect of re-614 liable research output creation. Reexecutability im-615 plies that instructions are formulated in such a way 616 that they can be automatically deployed without hu-617 man operator bias. In contrast to arbitrary reporting 618 standards, the property of reexecutability implicitly 619 guarantees that required instructions are fully recor-620 ded. 621

We demonstrate the feasibility of full research output reexecution by integrating cutting-edge technological capabilities, and publish all resources for open access, inspection, re-use, and adaptation. The article reexecution system which we produced isolates data and original resources, and does not make assump-

tions about the internal structure of a reexecutable 628 article, and is of course, not domain-specific. Our sys-629 tem initiates article execution via a Bash entry point, 630 meaning it itself is programmatically accessible for in-631 tegration into higher-order reexecutable research. We 632 demonstrate the feasibility of this by integrating the 633 original article reexecution with the reexecution of 634 the meta-article. Dependency resolution for the ori-635 ginal article is provided via an ebuild-style specifica-636 tion present in the original article code. This means 637 that its dependencies are resolved seamlessly with all 638 lower-level dependencies, and could be resolved seam-639 lessly with higher-order dependencies making use of 640 the reexecutable article as a piece of software. 641

We sharply distinguish between reexecutability and 642 reproducibility. The former refers to the capability of 643 producing an analogue research output from the same 644 data through automatic execution of data analysis. 645 The latter refers to the coherence between an analogue 646 research output (whether automatically reexecuted or 647 manually recreated) and an original research finding. 648 We further distinguish those two terms from replic-649 ability, which describes an identical reproduction of a 650 finding. 651

Reproducibility

We supplement the reexecution workflow description 653 of this article with a brief demonstration of how it 654 can be used to provide a reproducibility assessment. 655 For this purpose we use a difference computation tool 656 (in computational contexts known simply as "diff") 657 which summarizes and visually displays mismatches 658 between a historical manuscript record and multiple 659 reexecutions over various environments. Such a pro-660 cess makes mismatches visible at-a-glance throughout 661 the article figures and text, rendering them easy to 662 locate and interpret via human inspection. 663

Based on these results we lay out a few key findings 664 for further reproducibility assessments. In particu-665 lar, we notice that figures which directly map output 666 data are highly — and to a consistent extent — vari-667 able across multiple reexecution attempts. However, 668 in as far as such figures are accompanied by statistical 669 evaluations, we find these to be qualitatively consist-670 ent. This indicates that reproduction quality is not 671 only reliant on whether or not data processing is de-672 terministic, but also on which aspects of the top-level 673 data the authors seek to highlight. While the above 674 observations describe the current article specifically, 675 we suspect that they may reflect a phenomenon of 676 broader relevance. 677

In neuroimaging workflows, the most notorious 678 source for non-deterministic data analysis behavior 679 is the registration. This process commonly operates 680 via a random starting point — specified by a seed 681 value — and iterates according to a gradient descent 682 algorithm. While the toolkit used by the article reex-683 ecuted here allows the specification of a particular 684 seed, this was not done for the Historical Manuscript 685

652

Record, nor is it a feature commonly used by oper-686 ators. In light of our results, the question emerges 687 whether or not seed specification should be introduced 688 as a best practice. While a fixed seed would aid in 689 numerical reproducibility, it is possible that a specific 690 seed — whether by coincidence or *ex post facto* selec-691 tion — may result in anomalous conclusions. It may 692 693 then be that a stronger finding is one which is statistically robust with respect to preprocessing variability, 694 even if this comes at the cost of compromising nu-695 merical replicability. Conversely, it could be argued 696 that reproduction analysis can be better targeted and 697 more concise, if seed values were fixed to universally 698 accepted numbers (analogous to the usage of nothing-699 up-my-sleeve numbers in cryptography). 700

701 Challenges

For this meta-article we have selected an original
neuroimaging article which already published all of
the instructions needed to reproduce it in its entirety
from raw data and automatically executable instructions. Even in light of this uncommon advantage,
setting up a portable reexecution system has proven
to be an ample effort.

Difficulties arose primarily due to the instability 709 of the software stack. It is common (and increas-710 ingly so as researchers become involved in software 711 development) for scientific software to be subjected 712 713 to frequent interface changes and loss of support for older dependency versions. In this article we pro-714 pose version-frozen container technology as a mit-715 igation method for such fragility. However, this is 716 not without draw-backs, as it can make introspection 717 more challenging. In view of this, we defined inter-718 active container entry points (make targets), whereby 719 the user may enter the container dedicated to auto-720 matic reexecution to inspect and test changes in the 721 environment. Even so, on account of these contain-722 ers being dedicated to automatic execution, features 723 such as an advanced text processor are missing, and 724 the inclusion of such features may not be ultimately 725 desired. 726

A more easily surmountable challenge was data 727 management. Whereas the original article strove to 728 integrate all provision of computational requirements 729 with the package manager, the usage of containers 730 made the cost of this all-encompassing solution pro-731 hibitive. As such, Git submodules and DataLad were 732 used, providing enhanced functionality for e.g. data 733 version specification, but at the cost of spreading re-734 quirements provision over different technologies. 735

Lastly, an unavoidable challenge consisted in execution time-cost. While not prohibitive, the time cost
not only slows iterative development work, but presages a potential decrease in the feasibility of reexecution given the trend towards larger and larger data.
This means that process complexity and experimental
data size may need to be evaluated in light of the

diminished accessibility to such processes as reexecution. 743

745

Outlook

We propose a few key considerations for the further development of article reexecution — though we note that practical reuse of this system might identify promising enhancements better than theoretical analysis. 750

In particular, we find that reexecutable article de-751 bugging in a container environment can be a signific-752 ant challenge, and one which will only be more severe 753 if such an environment is already implemented in the 754 development phase of an article. In order to provide 755 seamless integration of both flexible development and 756 portable reexecution, we envision a workflow system 757 which, for each analysis step, permits either usage of 758 locally present executables, or entry points to a con-759 tainer. These two approaches may also be integrated 760 by bind-mount overloading of container components 761 with their local counterparts. We implement a ver-762 sion of this concept for the meta-article generation, 763 where the make article target which generates this 764 article will use the local environment, and the make 765 container-article target executes the same code 766 via an entry point to a T_FX container. 767

The reproduction quality assessment methods 768 provided in this study serve as a starting point for as-769 sessing full article reexecution. We argue that for the 770 reproducibility assessment of a specific article, there 771 is currently no substitute for the human-readable art-772 icle as the foremost output element, as it most ac-773 curately documents all variable elements in the con-774 text of the statements they underpin. However, it 775 should be noted that crude pixel-diff comparison, as 776 showcased here, cannot provide automatic evaluation 777 of differences (i.e. determining whether or not stat-778 istical thresholds have been crossed) — so machine-779 readable outputs are necessary for numerical compar-780 isons. There are ongoing efforts, such as NIDM [25], 781 to establish a framework and language for describ-782 ing numerical results in neuroimaging. This requires 783 custom tooling to export result descriptors in a lan-784 guage aiming to approximate — but distinct from — 785 human readable commentary, and was not yet im-786 plemented in our analysis workflow. There are also 787 supplementary outputs which may provide additional 788 capabilities, not in lieu of, but in addition to the art-789 icle text. The foremost among these — specifically 790 pertaining to neuroimaging — are statistical brain 791 maps. Such supplementary data would not only let 792 studies generate reusable outputs, but would also aid 793 the inspection of the original article. Our workflow 794 produces and records all of the top-level data (stat-795 istical maps, data tables, etc.) from which the art-796 icle extracts elements relevant to its statements. We 797 have uploaded the main statistical map of reexecution 798 results to NeuroVault, and are working to provide a 799 corresponding template for our mouse brain data. In-800 tegration between the present reexecutable article system and statistical map libraries is thus a promising

endeavor for further development. 803 Lastly, we highlight the relevance of reexecutable 804 articles for reuse and adaptation. Their key strength 805 is that they can easily be derived based on a reliable 806 starting point with respect to successful process exe-807 cution. This pertains not only to reuse of reexecutable 808 article code for novel or derived studies, but also reuse 809 for the inspection of specific parameter or data modi-810 fications. In view of this we recommend a practical 811 approach to the work described herein [13], whereby 812 the parent reexecution system repository can be con-813 sidered immediately and freely available for inspec-814 tion, personal exploration, and re-use by the reader. 815

Acknowledgement

This work was supposed by NIH grants 817 1R24MH117295 (DANDI: Distributed Archives 818 for Neurophysiology Data Integration) and 819 2P41EB019936-06A1 (ReproNim: A Center for 820 Reproducible Neuroimaging Computation). 821

822 References

- [1] Alexandre Abraham et al. "Machine learning for neuroimaging with scikit-learn". In: Frontiers in Neuroinformatics 8 (Feb. 2014). DOI: 10.
 3389/fninf.2014.00014. URL: https://doi.
 org/10.3389/fninf.2014.00014.
- [2] Guilherme Amadio and Benda Xu. "Portage: Bringing Hackers' Wisdom to Science". In: (2016). DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.1610.02742.
 URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.02742.
- [3] Brian B. Avants et al. "A reproducible evaluation of ANTs similarity metric performance in brain image registration". In: NeuroImage 54.3 (Feb. 2011), pp. 2033–2044. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.09.025. URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.09.025.
- [4] Stephen P. Bennett et al. "Package Manager Specification". English. In: (Apr. 2017). URL: https://projects.gentoo.org/pms/6/pms. html.
- ⁸⁴³ [5] Open Science Collaboration. "Estimating the reproducibility of psychological science". In:
 ⁸⁴⁵ Science 349.6251 (Aug. 2015). DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1126/science.aac4716.
- [6] Robert W Cox. "AFNI: software for analysis and visualization of functional magnetic resonance neuroimages". In: Computers and Biomedical research 29.3 (June 1996), pp. 162– 173. DOI: 10.1006/cbmr.1996.0014. URL: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ article/pii/S0010480996900142.

- [7] Asim H. Dar, Adina S. Wagner and Michael Hanke. "REMoDNaV: Robust Eye-Movement Classification for Dynamic Stimulation". In: (Apr. 2019). DOI: 10.1101/619254. URL: https://doi.org/10.1101/619254.
- [8] K.J. Friston et al. "Characterizing Evoked Hemodynamics with fMRI". In: NeuroImage 2.2 (June 1995), pp. 157–165. DOI: 10.1006/ ming.1995.1018. URL: https://doi.org/10.
 1006/nimg.1995.1018.
- [9] Krzysztof Gorgolewski et al. "Nipype: A Flexible, Lightweight and Extensible Neuroimaging Data Processing Framework in Python". In: Front. Neuroinform. 5 (2011). ISSN: 1662-5196. DOI: 10.3389/fninf.2011.00013. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fninf.2011. 00013.
- [10] Yaroslav Halchenko et al. DataLad: distributed system for joint management of code, data, and their relationship. Vol. 6.
 63. The Open Journal, July 2021, p. 3262. DOI: 10.21105/joss.03262. URL: https://doi.
 673 org/10.21105/joss.03262.
- [11] Yaroslav O. Halchenko et al. ReproNim 877
 Reproducible Basics Module. 2021. URL: 878
 https://www.repronim.org/module-879
 reproducible-basics/. 880
- Michael Hanke et al. YODA: YODA's or-[12]881 ganigram on data analysis. Poster presented 882 at the annual meeting of the Organization for 883 Human Brain Mapping, Singapore. 2018. eprint: 884 https://github.com/myyoda/poster/blob/ 885 master/ohbm2018.pdf.URL: https://github. 886 com/myyoda/poster/blob/master/ohbm2018. 887 pdf. 888
- Horea-Ioan Ioanas, Austin Macdonald and Yaroslav O. Halchenko. Neuroimaging Art icle Reexecution and Reproduction Assessment System. Apache License, verison 2.0.
 2024. URL: https://github.com/con/opfvta reexecution/releases/tag/1.20240119.0.
- Horea-Ioan Ioanas and Markus Rudin. "Reproducible Self-Publishing for Python-Based Research". In: EuroSciPy, Aug. 2018. DOI: 10.
 6084/m9.figshare.7247339.v1. URL: https:
 //figshare.com/articles/Reproducible_
 Self - Publishing _ for _ Python - Based _ 900 Research/7247339.
 901
- Horea-Ioan Ioanas, Bechara Saab and Markus [15]902 Rudin. "Gentoo Linux for Neuroscience — a 903 replicable, flexible, scalable, rolling-release en-904 vironment that provides direct access to devel-905 opment software". In: Research Ideas and 906 Outcomes 3 (Feb. 2017), e12095. DOI: 10. 907 3897/rio.3.e12095. URL: https://doi.org/ 908 10.3897/rio.3.e12095. 909
- [16] Horea-Ioan Ioanas, Bechara John Saab and Markus Rudin. "A Whole-Brain Map and Assay Parameter Analysis of Mouse VTA Dopaminer-

- 913
 gic Activation". In: bioRxiv (Apr. 2020). DOI:

 914
 10.1101/2020.04.03.023648. eprint: https:
- 914 10.1101/2020.01.00.020010.0pint.h00p0. 915 //www.biorxiv.org/content/early/2020/
- 916 04/04/2020.04.03.023648.full.pdf. URL:
- 917 https://www.biorxiv.org/content/early/

918 2020/04/04/2020.04.03.023648.

- [17] Horea-Ioan Ioanas, Bechara John Saab and Markus Rudin. BIDS Data for "A Whole-Brain Map and Assay Parameter Analysis of Mouse VTA Dopaminergic Activation". June 2019. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.
 3236930. URL: https://doi.org/10.5281/ zenodo.3236930.
- ⁹²⁶ [18] Horea-Ioan Ioanas, Tina Segessemann and Markus Rudin. "Mouse Brain Atlases"
 ⁹²⁸ Generator Workflows. Version 0.5. Jan.
 ⁹²⁹ 2019. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.2545838. URL: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2545838.
- [19] Horea-Ioan Ioanas et al. "An optimized registration workflow and standard geometric space for small animal brain imaging". In: 241 (Nov. 2021), p. 118386. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.
 2021.118386. URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.118386.
- ⁹³⁷ [20] Horea-Ioan Ioanas et al. Mouse Brain Tem⁹³⁸ plates Generator Workflows and Data
 ⁹³⁹ Links. Version 1.0. Jan. 2019. DOI: 10.5281/
 ⁹⁴⁰ zenodo.8214739. URL: https://doi.org/10.
 ⁹⁴¹ 5281/zenodo.8214739.
- ⁹⁴² [21] Horea-Ioan Ioanas et al. SAMRI Small
 ⁹⁴³ Animal Magnetic Resonance Imaging.
 ⁹⁴⁴ Jan. 2019. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.3234918.
 ⁹⁴⁵ URL: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.
 ⁹⁴⁶ 3234918.
- 947
 [22]
 Mark Jenkinson et al. "FSL". eng. In:

 948
 Neuroimage 62.2 (Aug. 2012), pp. 782–790.

 949
 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.09.015.

 950
 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.

 951
 neuroimage.2011.09.015.
- ⁹⁵² [23] Agah Karakuzu et al. "NeuroLibre: A pre⁹⁵³ print server for full-fledged reproducible neur⁹⁵⁴ oscience". In: (2022).
- ⁹⁵⁵ [24] Giuliano Maciocci, Michael Aufreiter and Nokome Bentley. "Introducing eLife's first computationally reproducible article". In: editorial (Feb. 2019). URL: https://elifesciences.
 org/labs/ad58f08d/introducing-elifea-first-computationally-reproducible.
- 960
 s-first-computationally-reproducible

 961
 article.
- ⁹⁶² [25] Camille Maumet et al. "Sharing brain mapping statistical results with the neuroimaging data model". In: Scientific Data 3.1 (Dec. 2016).
 ⁹⁶⁵ ISSN: 2052-4463. DOI: 10.1038/sdata.2016.
 ⁹⁶⁶ 102. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ sdata.2016.102.
- [26] Chet Ramey. "What's GNU: Bash-The GNU
 Shell". In: Linux Journal 1994.4es (1994), 13–
 es.

 [27] Richard Stallman. Free software, free society: Selected essays of Richard M. Stallman. Free Software Foundation, 2002. ISBN: 973 1882114981. 974

Supplementary

Below, we include the full article difference view, 976 from which showcase excerpts in the article body are 977 sourced. 978

975

Whole-Brain opto-fMRI Map of Mouse VTA Dopaminergic Activation Reflects Structural Projections with Small but Significant Deviations

Horea-Ioan Ioanas¹ Bechara John Saab² Markus Rudin¹ ¹Institute for Biomedical Engineering, ETH and University of Zurich ²Preclinical Laboratory for Translational Research into Affective Disorders, DPPP, Psychiatric Hospital, University of Zurich

Abstract — Ascending dopaminergic projections from neurons located in the Ventral Tegmental Area (VTA) are key to the etiology, dysfunction, and control of motivation, learning, and addiction. Due to evolutionary conservation of this nucleus and the extensive use of mice as disease models, establishing an assay for VTA dopaminergic signalling in the mouse brain is crucial for the translational investigation of motivational control as well as of neuronal function phenotypes for diseases and interventions. In this article we use optogenetic stimulation directed at VTA dopaminergic neurons in combination with functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI), a method widely used in human deep brain imaging. We present a comprehensive assay producing the first wholebrain opto-fMRI map of dopaminergic activation in the mouse, and show that VTA dopaminergic system function is consistent with its structural VTA projections, diverging only in a few key aspects. While the activation map predominantly highlights target areas according to their relative projection densities (e.g. strong activation of the nucleus accumbens and low activation of the hippocampus), it also includes areas for which a structural connection is not well established (such as the dorsomedial striatum). We further detail the variability of the assay with regard to multiple experimental parameters, including stimulation protocol and implant position, and provide evidence-based recommendations for assay reuse, publishing both reference results and a reference analysis workflow implementation.

Background

The dopaminergic system consists of a strongly localized, and widely projecting set of neurons with cell bodies clustered in the midbrain into two lateralized nucleus pairs, the Substantia Nigra pars compacta (SNc) and the Ventral Tegmental Area (VTA, fig. 1a). On account of the small number of dopaminergic neurons ($\approx 300,000$ in humans [1], $\approx 10,000$ in rats [2], and $\approx 4,000$ in mice [3]), tractography commonly fails to resolve the degree centrality of this neurotransmitter system, precluding it from being a prominent node in such graph representations of the brain. However, it is precisely the small number of widely branching and similar neurons, which makes the dopaminergic system a credible candidate for truly node-like function in coordinating brain activity. As is expected given such salient features, the system is widely implicated in neuropsychiatric phenomena (including addiction [4, 5], attentional control [6], motivation [7], creativity [8], personality [9], neurodegeneration [10], and schizophrenia [11]), and is a common target for pharmacological interventions. Lastly, due to high evolutionary conservation [12], the dopaminergic system is also an excellent candidate for translational study.

Imaging a neurotransmitter system comprised of a small number of cells based only on spontaneous activity is highly unreliable due to an intrinsically low signal to noise ratio (SNR). This limitation can, however, be overcome by introducing exogenous stimulation. While the colocalization of widely projecting dopaminergic cell bodies into nuclei renders temporally precise and population-wide targeting feasible, dopaminergic nuclei also contain notable subpopulations of non-dopaminergic cells, which may confound an intended dopaminergic read-out [13]. In order to specifically target dopaminergic cells, they need to be sensitized to an otherwise inert stimulus in a transcription-dependent manner. This can be achieved via optogenetics, which is based on lightstimulation of cells expressing light-sensitive proteins such as channelrhodopsin [14]. Cell-type selectivity can be achieved by Cre-conditional channelrhodopsin vector delivery [15] to transgenic animals expressing Cre-recombinase under a dopaminergic promoter. Following protein expression, stimuli can be delivered via an implanted optic fiber. The combination of this stimulation method with fMRI is commonly referred to as opto-fMRI and can provide information on functional connectivity between a primary activation site and associated projection areas [16, 17].

Key questions surrounding VTA function in preclinical models are, firstly, method feasibility in ani-

2023-09-09

Page **1** of 21

980

Whole-Brain opto-fMRI Map of Mouse VTA Dopaminergic Activation Reflects Structural Projections with Small but Significant Deviations

mal models more accessible to transgenic techniques, such as the mouse; and secondly, a mapping of the efferent spectrum for dopaminergic VTA output. In particular, in the study of the Rat VTA, it has both been suggested that the efferent dopaminergic spectrum encompasses but extends beyond welldocumented structural projections [18] — or alternatively, that VTA dopaminergic efferences are comparatively sparse and that based on translational insight the dopaminergic paradigm of motivationrelated VTA function could be questioned [19].

The current study of whole-brain VTA dopaminergic function in mice aims to produce three novel research outputs. Firstly, a proof-of-principle documenting the feasibility of midbrain dopaminergic opto-fMRI in the mouse should be demonstrated, using a protocol which affords qualitative comparability with extant rat data, such as block stimulation and right VTA targeting. Pursuing open questions in the field, results should be quantitatively benchmarked with respect to histologically documented structural projections in the mouse. Secondly, the procedure needs to be optimized by systematic variation of experimental parameters (such as targeting and stimulation protocol variations) in order to ascertain reliability and reproducibility, as is required for a generalpurpose dopaminergic system assay. Lastly, a reference neurophenotype of stimulus-evoked dopaminergic function (represented as a brain-wide voxelwise map) should be published in standard space to facilitate co-registered data integration, operative targeting, and comparative evaluation of pathology or treatment induced effects.

These goals presuppose not only the production of experimental data, but also the development of a transparent, reliable, and publicly accessible analysis workflow, which leverages pre-existing standards for mouse brain data processing [20] and extends them to the statistical analysis.

Methods

Animal Preparation

VTA dopaminergic neurons were specifically targeted via optogenetic stimulation. As shown in fig. 1d, this entails a triple selection process. Firstly, cells are selected based on gene expression (via a transgenic mouse strain), secondly the location is selected based on the injection site, and thirdly, activation is based on the overlap of the aforementioned selection steps with the irradiation volume covered by the optic fiber.

A C57BL/6-based mouse strain was chosen, which expresses Cre recombinase under the dopamine transporter (DAT) promoter [21]. Transgenic construct presence was assessed via polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for the Cre construct, using the forward primer ACCAGCCAGCTATCAACTCG and the reverse primer TTGCCCCTGTTTCACTATCC. A total of 25 transgenic animals and 7 wild type control animals are included in the study. The animal sample consisted of 18 males and 14 females, with a group average age of 302 days (standard deviation 143 days) at the study onset. The sample size was determined based on the range found sufficient to uncover optofMRI results in the mouse serotonergic system [17].

The right VTA (fig. 3e, green contour) of the animals was injected with a recombinant Adeno-Associated Virus (rAAV) solution. The vector delivered a plasmid containing a floxed channelrhodopsin and YFP construct: pAAV-EF1a-double floxed-hChR2(H134R)-EYFP-WPRE-HGHpA, gifted to a public repository by Karl Deisseroth (Addgene plasmid #20298). Viral vectors and plasmids were produced by the Viral Vector Facility (VVF) of the Neuroscience Center Zurich (Zentrum für Neurowissenschaften Zürich, ZNZ). The solution was prepared at a titer of $5.7 \times 10^{12} \, \text{vg/ml}$ and volumes from 0.8 to 1.6 µl were injected into the right VTA. Injection coordinates ranged in the posteroanterior (PA) direction from -3.5 to -3.05 mm (relative to bregma), in depth from 4.0 to 4.4 mm (relative to the skull), and were located 0.5 mm right of the midline. Construct expression was ascertained post mortem by fluorescent microscopy of formaldehyde fixed 200 µm brain slices.

For optical stimulation, animals were fitted with fiber anoptic implant $(l = 4.7 \text{ mm } d = 400 \text{ } \mu \text{m } \text{NA} = 0.22)$ targeting the right VTA, at least two weeks before imaging. Implant target coordinates ranged in the PA direction from -3.5 to $-3.05 \,\mathrm{mm}$ (relative to bregma), in depth from 4.0 to 4.6 mm (relative to the skull), and were located 0.5 to 0.55 mm right of the midline.

Stimulation was delivered via an Omicron LuxX 488-60 laser (488 nm), tuned to a power of 30 mW at contact with the fiber implant, according to the protocols listed in tables S1 to S7. Stimulation protocols were delivered to the laser and recorded to disk via the COSplayer device [22]. Animal physiology, preparation, and measurement metadata were tracked with the LabbookDB database framework [23].

MR Acquisition

Over the course of preparation and measurement, animals were provided a constant flow of air with an additional 20 % O₂ gas (yielding a total O₂ concentration of ≈ 36 %). For animal preparation, anesthesia was induced with 3% isoflurane, and maintained at 2 to 3% during preparation — contingent on animal reflexes. Animals were fixed to a heated MRI-compatible cradle via ear bars and a face mask equipped with a bite hook. A subcutaneous (s.c.; right dorsal) and intravenous (i.v.; tail vein) infusion line were applied. After animal fixation, a bolus of medetomidine hydrochloride (Domitor, Pfizer Pharmaceuticals, UK) was delivered s.c. to a total dose of 100 ng/(g BW) and the inhalation anesthetic was reduced to 1.5%

2023-09-09

Page **2** of 21

isoflurane. After a 5 min interval, the inhalation anesthetic was set to 0.5% and medetomidine was continuously delivered at 200 ng/(g BW h) for the duration of the experiment. This anesthetic protocol is closely based on extensive research into animal preparation for fMRI [24].

All data were acquired with a Bruker Biospec system (7 T, 16 cm bore), and an in-house built transmit/receive surface coil, engineered to permit optic fiber implant protrusion.

Anatomical scans were acquired via a TurboRARE sequence, with a RARE factor of 8, an echo time (TE) of 30 ms, an inter-echo spacing of 10 ms, and a repetition time (TR) of 2.95 s. Thirty adjacent (no slice gap) coronal slices were recorded with a nominal inplane resolution of $\Delta x(\nu) = \Delta y(\phi) = 75 \,\mu m$ (sampled as 180 voxels sagittally and 120 voxels horizontally), and a slice thickness of $\Delta z(t) = 450 \,\mu m$.

Functional scans were acquired with a gradientecho EPI sequence, a flip angle of 60°, and TR/TE = 1000 ms/5.9 ms. Thirty adjacent (no slice gap) coronal slices were recorded with a nominal inplane resolution of $\Delta x(\nu) = \Delta y(\phi) = 225 \,\mu m$ (sampled as 60 voxels sagittally and 29 voxels horizontally), and a slice thickness of $\Delta z(t) = 450\,\mu m.$ Functional scans were acquired over a period of 25 min, totalling 1500 repetitions. Changes in cerebral blood volume (CBV) are measured as a proxy of neuronal activity following the administration of an intravascular iron oxide nanoparticle based contrast agent (Endorem, Laboratoire Guebet SA, France) [25]. The contrast agent $(30.24 \,\mu g/(g \,BW))$ is delivered as an i.v. bolus 10 min prior to the fMRI data acquisition, to achieve a pseudo steady-state blood concentration. This contrast is chosen to enable short echotime imaging thereby minimizing artefacts caused by gradients in magnetic susceptibility.

The total duration of the scan session, including induction, preparation, and scanning (including the 10 min delay after contrast agent administration, taking place between the structural and functional scan) was approximately 80 min.

MR acquisition was performed blindly with respect to the implant parameter variation, the measurement order was not systematically separated between the conditions. All animal experiments and handling were performed in accordance with the relevant requirements of the Cantonal Veterinary Office of Zurich, under licence ZH263/14 and extension ZH128/18.

Preprocessing

Data conversion from the proprietary ParaVision format was performed via the Bruker-to-BIDS repositing pipeline [26] of the SAMRI package (version 0.4 [27]). Following conversion, data was dummyscan corrected, registered, and subject to controlled smoothing via the SAMRI Generic registration workflow [20]. As part of this processing, the first 10 volumes were discarded (automatically accounting for volumes excluded by the scanner software). Registration was performed using the standard SAMRI mouse-brain-optimized parameter set for ANTs [28] (version 2.3.1). Data was transformed to a stereotactically oriented standard space (the DSURQEC template space, as distributed in the Mouse Brain Atlases Package [29], version 0.5.3), which is based on a highresolution T_2 -weighted atlas [30]. Controlled spatial smoothing was applied in the coronal plane up to 250 µm via the AFNI package [31] (version 19.1.05).

The registered time course data was frequency filtered depending on the analysis workflow. For stimulus-evoked activity, the data was low-pass filtered at a period threshold of 225 s, and for seed-based functional connectivity, the data was band-pass filtered within a period range of 2 to 225 s.

Statistics and Data

Volumetric data was modelled using functions from the FSL software package [32] (version 5.0.11). First-level regression was applied to the temporally resolved volumetric data via FSL's glm function, whereas the second-level analysis was applied to the first-level contrast and variance estimates via FSL's flameo.

Stimulus-evoked first-level regression was performed using a convolution of the stimulus sequence with an opto-fMRI impulse response function, estimated by a beta fit of previously reported mouse optofMRI responses [17]. Seed-based functional connectivity analysis was performed by regressing the time course of the voxel most sensitive to the stimulusevoked activity (per scan) in the VTA region of interest.

Brain parcellation for region-based evaluation was performed using a non-overlapping multi-center labelling [30, 33, 34, 35], as distributed in version 0.5.3 of the Mouse Brain Atlases data package [29]. The mapping operations were performed by a SAMRI function, using the nibabel [36] and nilearn [37] libraries (versions 2.3.1 and 0.5.0, respectively). Classification of implant coordinates into "best" and "rejected" categories was performed via 1D k-means clustering, implemented in the scikit-learn library [38] (version 0.20.3). Distribution density visualizations were created using the Scott bandwidth density estimator [39], as implemented in the seaborn software package (0.9.0).

Higher-level statistical modelling was performed with the Statsmodels software package [40] (version 0.9.9), and the SciPy software package [41] (version 1.1.0). Model parameters were estimated using the ordinary least squares method, and a type 3 analysis of variance (ANOVA) and a heteroscedasticity consistent covariance matrix [42] were employed to control estimate variability for unbalanced categories. All t-tests producing explicitly noted p-values are twotailed.

2023-09-09

Page **3** of 21

The VTA structural projection data used to compare and contrast the activation maps produced in this study was sourced from the Allen Brain Institute (ABI) mouse brain connectome dataset [43]. As the target promoter of this study (DAT) is not included in the ABI connectome study, all available promoters were used (Sty17, Erbb4, Slc6a3, Th, Cck, Pdzk1ip1, Chrna2, Hdc, Slc18a2, Calb2, and Rasgrf2). Datasets with left-handed VTA injection sides were flipped to provide right-hand VTA projection estimates. The data was converted and registered to the DSURQEC template space by the ABI Connectivity Data Generator package [44]. For the second-level statistical comparison between functional activation and structural projection, individual activation (betas) and projection maps were normalized to a common scale by subtracting the average and dividing by the standard deviation.

Software management relevant for the exact reproduction of the aforementioned environment was performed via neuroscience package install instructions for the Gentoo Linux distribution [45].

All data analysis was performed on the entire dataset, without any data being removed, and in the absence of individual category investigation.

Reproducibility and Open Data

The resulting t-statistic maps (i.e. the top-level data visualized in this document), which document the opto-fMRI dopaminergic map in the mouse model, are distributed along the source-code of all analyses [46]. The BIDS [47] data archive which serves as the raw data recourse for this document is openly distributed [48], as is the full instruction set for recreating this document from the aforementioned raw data analysis shown herein is structured according to the RepSeP specifications [49].

Results

Opto-fMRI experiments were carried out in C57BL/6mice expressing Cre recombinase under the dopamine transporter promoter [21], with Cre-conditional viral vector induced expression of channelrhodopsin (ChR2) and yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) in the dopaminergic midbrain. Light stimuli were delivered via an optic fiber pointing above the right VTA. Different stimulation protocols were applied to the animals, consisting of variations within two main categories: block stimulation (with light stimuli delivered in continuous blocks of at least 8 s — tables S1 to S5) and phasic stimulation (with light stimuli delivered in short bursts of up to 1s in lenght — tables S6 and S7). Additionally, the dataset details the effects of variation in the posteroainerior (PA) coordinates and the implant depth (equivalent to the dorsoventral

coordinate of the fiber endpoint), specified relative to bregma and the skull surface, respectively.

In the analysis of the resulting data, the mean tstatistic for the stimulation regressor fit across the VTA region of interest is found sensitive to the stimulation protocol category ($F_{1,54} = 40.26$, $p = 6.90 \times 10^{-8}$), the stimulation target depth ($F_{4,54} = 2.666$, p = 0.049), the stimulation target PA coordinates ($F_{3,54} = 2.963$, p = 0.036), but not the interaction of the depth and PA target coordinates ($F_{12,54} = 1.695$, p = 0.16).

The break-up by phasic and block stimulation is shown in fig. 2 and significance is evaluated accounting for the entire statistical model, consisting of categorical terms for both the stimulus category and the coordinates. The phasic and block levels of the stimulation variable yield p-values of 0.069 and 4.80×10^{-5} , respectively. Upon investigation of the t-statistic map, phasic stimulation further reveals no coherent activation pattern at the whole-brain level (fig. S2b).

The main and interaction effects of the implant coordinate variables are better described categorically than linearly (figs. S1 and 2b). Consequently, the most suitable implant coordinate group for the assay can best be determined on the basis of categorical classification of implant coordinates. We classify the implant coordinates into a "best" and a "rejected" group by k-means clustering the aggregate VTA tstatistic scores into two clusters, and find spatial coherence for the "best" coordinate group (categorization highlighted in fig. 2b).

For block stimulation, the best implant category group (fig. 3a) and the rejected implant category group (fig. 3c) show not only a difference in overall stimulus-evoked signal intensity, but also a difference in efferent distribution, with the rejected implant category efferent spectrum more strongly weighted towards caudal brain areas. This distinction specifically arises for implant categorization based on block scan VTA t-statistic means, and is not as salient if implants are categorized based on a posteroanterior implant coordinate delimiter (fig. S3).

The activation pattern elicited by block stimulation in the best implant category group shows strong coherent clusters of activation. The top activation areas are predominantly located in the right hemisphere, with highly significant laterality $(p = 8.63 \times 10^{-5})$ seen in the comparison of left and right hemisphere atlas parcellation region averages. Activation is seen in regions surrounding the stimulation site, such as the ventral tegmental decussation and the interpeduncular nucleus. The largest activation cluster encompasses well-known dopaminergic VTA projection areas in the subcortical rostroventral regions of the brain (nucleus accumbens, striatum, and the basal forebrain), with weaker activation observed in smaller structures in the vicinity of these regions, such as the fasciculus retroflexus, anterior commissure and the claustrum.

2023-09-09

Page 4 of 21

Whole-Brain opto-fMRI Map of Mouse VTA Dopaminergic Activation Reflects Structural Projections with Small but Significant Deviations

This activation pattern is is largely consistent with structural projection data, as published by the Allen Brain Institute [43] with a few notable distinctions (fig. 4). At the parcellation level, we see a moderately strong positive correlation between functional activation and structural projection (fig. 4a), which is weaker at the voxel level (fig. 4b). In the midbrain, the coronal slice map shows areas of increased functional activation with respect to structural projection density in the contralateral VTA and the ipsilateral substantia nigra. Coherent clusters of increased activation are also observed in projection areas, most prominently in the ipsilateral and contralateral dorsomedial striatum (fig. 4c). Parcellation-based distributions (figs. 4d and 4e) show this increased activation map encompassing additional areas in the contralateral hemisphere, in particular the contralateral nucleus accumbens, with activity extending into the claustrum. Areas for which structural projections clearly outweigh the functional response are few and dispersed. These small clusters yield only weak negative contrast distributions and are located predominantly in the cerebellum (fig. 4d).

We differentiate VTA transmission from VTA excitability by mapping functional connectivity using a seed region in the right VTA, which yielded the projection pattern shown in fig. 3e. These clusters are more sparse compared to those identified by stimulus-evoked analysis, yet follow a similar distribution. While areas displaying the highest functional connectivity are located in the right hemisphere, the whole brain parcellation-resolved response displays no significant laterality (p = 0.66). Strong activation can be seen in the parcellation regions surrounding the seed, such as the ventral tegmental decussation and the closely located interpeduncular nucleus. In the midbrain, seed-based functional connectivity highlights both the ipsilateral and the contralateral VTA with great specificity, unlike sitmulus-evoked analysis (figs. 3a and 3e). Rostrovental dopaminergic projection areas remain prominently featured, including the nucleus accumbens and the striatum (fig. 3f).

Stimulation in wild type control animals (which is corrected for in the aforementioned stimulus-evoked analyses) does not exhibit a pattern of activity consistent with dopaminergic projections. Sparse grains containing regression scores of $t \geq 3$ can be observed, with the largest cluster in the lateral geniculate nucleus area of the thalamus, suggesting visual activity (fig. S5b). Atlas parcellation score distributions (fig. S5c) do not strongly deviate from zero, with the highest scoring areas being in the vicinity of the fiber, possibly indicating VTA heating artefacts. Comparable region t-statistic distributions are also found in areas of the cerebellum. Overall the whole brain parcellation-resolved response shows no significant laterality (p = 0.03).

Histological analysis of the targeting site reveals that the optic fiber implant displaces the YFP labelled neurons of the VTA (fig. 5). This dislocation was observed irrespective of the targeting area or the speed of implant insertion (10 to $50 \,\mu m/s$). Yet, labelled filaments and soma remain in the imediate vecinity of the fiber tip, as seen in higher magnification images (fig. 5c).

Discussion

Whole-Brain Dopaminergic Map

In this article we present the first whole-brain optofMRI map of VTA dopaminergic activity in the mouse. Published as voxelwise reusable data and discussed in terms of regions of interest in the article text, this constitutes an essential resource for preclinical investigation of the dopaminergic system. The areas identified as functional VTA dopaminergic targets are largely consistent with histological and electrophysiologic literature (as summarized in fig. 1a). This highlights the suitability of opto-fMRI for interrogating the mouse dopaminergic system, which opens the way for longitudinal recording with whole-brain coverage.

The predominant VTA projection area identified both in literature and in our study is the nucleus accumbens. This area is involved in numerous neuropsychological phenomena, and its activation further supports the method's suitability to resolve meaningful brain function and increase the predictability of novel interventions using the mouse model organism. Particularly, potential limitations of dopaminergic VTA imaging as shown in recent literature [19], appear to not constrain the protocol detailed in this study.

Throughout brain regions with high signal amplitudes on either metric, we observe a high degree of correspondence between functional activation and structural projection density. Yet, we also document a number of notable differences between opto-fMRI derived projection areas and the structural substrate of the dopaminergic system. Overall, the contrast between function and structure shows stronger signal and wider coverage for the functional activation pattern, particularly in projection areas. Notably, the functional map extends into the contralateral ventral striatum, and both the contralateral and ipsilateral dorsal striatum. Activation of the contralateral ventral striatum might be attributed to an extension of the functional map to the contralateral VTA. This interpretation is supported by the contralateral projection areas showing lower overall significance scores than the ipsilateral areas (figs. 3b and 3f). The explanation of projection area extension into the dorsal striatum on account of secondary activation of the ipsilateral substantia nigra is however less reliable, since the most relevant cluster of increased functional activation — the dorsomedial striatum — can be observed bilaterally, though potential nigral activation is only seen ipsilaterally (fig. 4c). Together with other recent

2023-09-09

984

Whole-Brain opto-fMRI Map of Mouse VTA Dopaminergic Activation Reflects Structural Projections with Small but Significant Deviations

literature [18, 50], it is also possible that VTA activation on its own elicits dorsomedial striatial activity. Not least of all, the local deformation of the VTA upon fiber implantation may additionally confound parcellation in the vicinity of the fiber tip (fig. 5).

Negative contrasts clusters between functional activation and structural projection are overall very sparse (fig. 4d). Yet, the amygdala, hippocampus, and the medial prefrontal cortex — known targets for VTA dopaminergic projections — do not reveal strong activation in opto-fMRI. Comparison with published structural projection data indicates that this is due to low fiber bundle density, as these areas also do not show high amounts of structural projections.

In the pursuit of differentiating primary activation from subsequent signal transmission (and resolving a dopaminergic graph relay model, as depicted in fig. 1b) we present an analysis workflow based on VTA seed-based connectivity. Our results indicate that this analysis is capable of identifying projection areas, but is significantly less powerful than stimulusevoked analysis (fig. 3a). VTA seed-based analysis highlights only a small number of activation clusters and fails to show significant projection laterality. This is an interesting outcome, as — given the superior performance of stimulus-evoked analysis — it describes two possible features of dopaminergic neurotransmission in the VTA. The first is that the relay of primary VTA stimulation has higher fidelity than the fMRI measurement of VTA activity itself (i.e. VTA activity is relayed accurately, but outweighed by measurement noise). The second is that there is a significant threshold to dopaminergic neurotransmission, by which fMRI-measurable baseline activity is predominantly not propagated (i.e. VTA activity is measured accurately, but is relayed in a strongly filtered fashion). The seed-based analysis workflow, however successfully disambiguates VTA activation from adjacent midbrain activation including for the contralateral VTA, which is outside of the seed region of interest. This indicates that VTA susceptibility to optogenetic stimulation may have a unique signature compared to surrounding midbrain tissue in which activation is also elicited in opto-fMRI.

Assay Parameters

This article presents an evidence-based outline for assay reuse and refinement. In particular, we detail the effects of stimulus protocol categories and optogenetic targeting coordinates on the performance of the method.

The break-down of target coordinates for optical stimulation (fig. 2) indicates that more rostral and deeper implant coordinates elicit stronger VTA signal responses to block stimulation trials. Based on our data we suggest targeting the optic implant at a posteroanterior distance of -3.05 mm from bregma, a left-right distance of 0.5 to 0.55 mm from the midline, and a depth of 4.5 mm from the skull surface. Ad-

ditional coordinate exploration might be advisable, though further progression towards bregma may lead to direct stimulation of specific efferent fibers rather than the VTA.

The absence of VTA activation as well as coherent activity patterns elicited by phasic stimulation (figs. 2a and S2b) highlights that phasic stimulation is unable to elicit activation measurable by the assay in its current form. The overall low susceptibility to phasic stimulation is most likely due to the intrinsically lower statistical power of such stimulation protocols in fMRI.

Regarding the distribution of activation across projection areas, we note a strong and unexpected divergence between the most sensitive ("best") and least sensitive ("rejected") implant coordinate category responses to block stimulation (figs. 3a and 3c). In addition to a difference in VTA and efferent signal intensity (expected as per the selection criterion), we also notice a different pattern of target areas. Interestingly, the activity pattern elicited in the "rejected" group is more strongly weighted towards the hindbrain, and the efferent pattern includes the periaqueductal gray, a prominent brainstem nucleus involved in emotional regulation [51]. This effect might be related to the activation of descending dopaminergic projections, though further investigation is needed to clarify this point and, in general, to better understand the cross-connectivity between deep brain nuclei.

The activation patterns in wild type control animals are very sparse (fig. S5), and — whether or not they are controlled for in the form of a second-level contrast — do not meaningfully impact the dopaminergic block stimulation contrast (figs. 3a and S4). Based on the activation distribution, however, it may be inferred that trace heating artefacts (midbrain activation) and visual stimulation (lateral geniculate nucleus thalamic activation) are present. On account of this, for further experiments, we suggest using eye occlusion and dark or dark-painted ferrule sleeves (to avoid visual stimulation), as well as laser power lower than the 30 mW (239 mW/mm²) used in this study (to further reduce heating artefacts).

Stimulus-evoked analysis displayed significant laterality; nevertheless, large clusters displaying significant activation were also observed on the contralateral side. Fluorescence microscopy (fig. 4c) revealed that expression of the viral construct injected at the site of the right VTA extends over a large area, including part of the contralateral VTA. Inspection of the functional map at the midbrain stimulation site corroborates that activity in fact spreads to the contralateral VTA (fig. 3a). This explains the occurrence of contralateral fMRI responses, which are most likely weaker due to a lower photon fluence at the site of the left VTA. Together, these data suggest that the solution volume and virus amount injected for the assay could be significantly reduced, to less than the 0.8 µl

2023-09-09

Whole-Brain opto-fMRI Map of Mouse VTA Dopaminergic Activation Reflects Structural Projections with Small but Significant Deviations

 $(5.7\times 10^{12}\,\rm vg/ml)$ used as the minimal volume in this study.

The most salient qualitative feature of fig. 5 is, however, the displacement of labelled neurons from the area in the proximity of the optic fiber implant tip. This feature was consistent across animals and implantation sites, and is a relevant concern as it affects the accuracy of targeting small structures. In particular, such a feature could exacerbate limitations arising from heating artefacts, since the maximum SNR attainable at a particular level of photon fluence may be capped to an unnecessarily low level. This effect might be mitigated by using thinner optic fiber implants (e.g. $\not = 200 \, \mu m$, as opposed to the $\not = 400 \, \mu m$ fibers used in this study).

Conclusion

In this article we demonstrate the suitability of opto-fMRI for investigating a neurotransmitter system which exhibits node-like function in coordinating brain activity. We present the first whole-brain map of VTA dopaminergic signalling in the mouse in a standard space aligned with stereotactic coordinates [46]. We determine that the mapping is consistent with known structural projections, and note the instances where differences are observed. Further, we explore network structure aware analysis via functional connectivity (fig. 3e), finding that the assay provides superior identification of the VTA, but limited support for signal relay imaging. Indepth investigation of experimental variation, based on open source and reusable workflows, supports the current findings by identifying detailed evidencebased instructions for assay reuse. Our study provides a reference dopaminergic stimulus-evoked functional neurophenotype map and a novel and thoroughly documented workflow for the preclinical imaging of dopaminergic function, both of which are crucial to elucidating the etiology of numerous disorders and improving psychopharmacological interventions in health and disease.

Funding

This work was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation grant number 310030-179257, which was awarded to MR.

Author Contributions

HII performed the methods development, experiments, data analysis, and drafted the article. BJS consulted on methods development, provided materials, and reviewed the article. MR supervised the project, provided materials, consulted on MRI methods, and reviewed and edited the article.

Conflict of Interest

There are no financial or other relations that could lead to a conflict-of-interest.

References

- Matthew W Rice, Rosalinda C Roberts, Miguel Melendez-Ferro, and Emma Perez-Costas. Mapping dopaminergic deficiencies in the substantia nigra/ventral tegmental area in schizophrenia. Brain Structure and Function, 221(1):185– 201, January 2016.
- [2] Dwight C German and Kebreten F Manaye. Midbrain dopaminergic neurons (nuclei a8, a9, and a10): three-dimensional reconstruction in the rat. Journal of Comparative Neurology, 331(3):297–309, May 1993.
- [3] LC Triarhou, J Norton, and Bernardino Ghetti. Mesencephalic dopamine cell deficit involves areas a8, a9 and a10 in weaver mutant mice. *Experimental brain research*, 70(2):256–265, April 1988.
- [4] G. Di Chiara and A. Imperato. Drugs abused by humans preferentially increase synaptic dopamine concentrations in the mesolimbic system of freely moving rats. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 85(14):5274– 5278, July 1988.
- [5] Gaetano Di Chiara. Drug addiction as dopaminedependent associative learning disorder. *European Journal of Pharmacology*, 375(1-3):13–30, June 1999.
- [6] A Nieoullon. Dopamine and the regulation of cognition and attention. *Progress in Neurobiol*ogy, 67(1):53–83, May 2002.
- [7] John D. Salamone. The involvement of nucleus accumbens dopamine in appetitive and aversive motivation. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 61(2):117–133, April 1994.
- [8] Soghra Akbari Chermahini and Bernhard Hommel. The (b)link between creativity and dopamine: Spontaneous eye blink rates predict and dissociate divergent and convergent thinking. *Cognition*, 115(3):458–465, June 2010.
- [9] Richard A. Depue and Paul F. Collins. Neurobiology of the structure of personality: Dopamine, facilitation of incentive motivation, and extraversion. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 22(3):491– 517, June 1999.
- [10] E. Masliah. Dopaminergic loss and inclusion body formation in -synuclein mice: Implications for neurodegenerative disorders. *Science*, 287(5456):1265–1269, February 2000.

2023-09-09

Page **7** of 21

986

Whole-Brain opto-fMRI Map of Mouse VTA Dopaminergic Activation Reflects Structural Projections with Small but Significant Deviations

- [11] O. D. Howes and S. Kapur. The dopamine hypothesis of schizophrenia: Version III-the final common pathway. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 35(3):549–562, March 2009.
- [12] Kei Yamamoto and Philippe Vernier. The evolution of dopamine systems in chordates. *Frontiers* in Neuroanatomy, 5, March 2011.
- [13] Seth R. Taylor, Sylvia Badurek, Ralph J. Dileone, Raad Nashmi, Liliana Minichiello, and Marina R. Picciotto. GABAergic and glutamatergic efferents of the mouse ventral tegmental area. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 522(14):3308–3334, July 2014.
- [14] Edward S Boyden, Feng Zhang, Ernst Bamberg, Georg Nagel, and Karl Deisseroth. Millisecondtimescale, genetically targeted optical control of neural activity. *Nature Neuroscience*, 8(9):1263– 1268, August 2005.
- [15] P. C. Orban, D. Chui, and J. D. Marth. Tissueand site-specific DNA recombination in transgenic mice. *Proceedings of the National Academy* of Sciences, 89(15):6861–6865, August 1992.
- [16] M. Desai, I. Kahn, U. Knoblich, J. Bernstein, H. Atallah, A. Yang, et al. Mapping brain networks in awake mice using combined optical neural control and fMRI. *Journal of Neurophysiol*ogy, 105(3):1393–1405, March 2011.
- [17] Joanes Grandjean, Alberto Corcoba, Martin C. Kahn, A. Louise Upton, Evan S. Deneris, Erich Seifritz, et al. A brain-wide functional map of the serotonergic responses to acute stress and fluoxetine. *Nature Communications*, 10(1), January 2019.
- [18] S Lohani, A J Poplawsky, S-G Kim, and B Moghaddam. Unexpected global impact of VTA dopamine neuron activation as measured by opto-fMRI. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 22(4):585– 594, July 2016.
- [19] Marta Brocka, Cornelia Helbing, Daniel Vincenz, Thomas Scherf, Dirk Montag, Jürgen Goldschmidt, et al. Contributions of dopaminergic and non-dopaminergic neurons to VTAstimulation induced neurovascular responses in brain reward circuits. *NeuroImage*, 177:88–97, August 2018.
- [20] Horea-Ioan Ioanas, Markus Marks, Valerio Zerbi, Mehmet Fatih Yanik, and Markus Rudin. An optimized registration workflow and standard geometric space for small animal brain imaging. 241:118386, November 2021.
- [21] Xiaoxi Zhuang, Justine Masson, Jay A. Gingrich, Stephen Rayport, and René Hen. Targeted gene

expression in dopamine and serotonin neurons of the mouse brain. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, 143(1):27–32, April 2005.

- [22] Florian Aymanns, Markus Rudin, and Horea-Ioan Ioanas. COSplay: Contrast optimized stimulation player. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 4(39):1171, July 2019.
- [23] Horea-Ioan Ioanas, Bechara Saab, and Markus Rudin. LabbookDB: A wet-work-tracking database application framework. *Proceedings of* the 16th Python in Science Conference, July 2017.
- [24] Joanes Grandjean, Aileen Schroeter, Imene Batata, and Markus Rudin. Optimization of anesthesia protocol for resting-state fmri in mice based on differential effects of anesthetics on functional connectivity patterns. *NeuroImage*, 102 Pt 2:838–847, November 2014.
- [25] John J.A. Marota, C. Ayata, Michael A. Moskowitz, Robert M. Weisskoff, Bruce R. Rosen, and Joseph B. Mandeville. Investigation of the early response to rat forepaw stimulation. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 41(2):247–252, February 1999.
- [26] Horea-Ioan Ioanas, Markus Marks, Clément M Garin, Marc Dhenain, Mehmet Fatih Yanik, and Markus Rudin. An automated open-source workflow for standards-compliant integration of small animal magnetic resonance imaging data. Frontiers in neuroinformatics, 14:5, February 2020.
- [27] Horea-Ioan Ioanas, Markus Marks, Tina Segessemann, Dominik Schmidt, Florian Aymanns, and Markus Rudin. SAMRI — Small Animal Magnetic Resonance Imaging, January 2019.
- [28] Brian B. Avants, Nicholas J. Tustison, Gang Song, Philip A. Cook, Arno Klein, and James C. Gee. A reproducible evaluation of ANTs similarity metric performance in brain image registration. *NeuroImage*, 54(3):2033–2044, February 2011.
- [29] Horea-Ioan Ioanas, Tina Segessemann, and Markus Rudin. "Mouse Brain Atlases" generator workflows, January 2019.
- [30] A.E. Dorr, J.P. Lerch, S. Spring, N. Kabani, and R.M. Henkelman. High resolution threedimensional brain atlas using an average magnetic resonance image of 40 adult c57bl/6j mice. *NeuroImage*, 42(1):60–69, August 2008.
- [31] Robert W Cox. AFNI: software for analysis and visualization of functional magnetic resonance neuroimages. *Computers and Biomedical research*, 29(3):162–173, June 1996.

2023-09-09

Page 8 of 21

Whole-Brain opto-fMRI Map of Mouse VTA Dopaminergic Activation Reflects Structural Projections with Small but Significant Deviations

- [32] Mark Jenkinson, Christian F. Beckmann, Timothy E J. Behrens, Mark W. Woolrich, and Stephen M. Smith. FSL. *Neuroimage*, 62(2):782– 790, August 2012.
- [33] Patrick E. Steadman, Jacob Ellegood, Kamila U. Szulc, Daniel H. Turnbull, Alexandra L. Joyner, R. Mark Henkelman, et al. Genetic effects on cerebellar structure across mouse models of autism using a magnetic resonance imaging atlas. *Autism Research*, 7(1):124–137, October 2013.
- [34] Jeremy F.P. Ullmann, Charles Watson, Andrew L. Janke, Nyoman D. Kurniawan, and David C. Reutens. A segmentation protocol and MRI atlas of the c57bl/6j mouse neocortex. *NeuroImage*, 78:196–203, September 2013.
- [35] Kay Richards, Charles Watson, Rachel F. Buckley, Nyoman D. Kurniawan, Zhengyi Yang, Marianne D. Keller, et al. Segmentation of the mouse hippocampal formation in magnetic resonance images. *NeuroImage*, 58(3):732–740, October 2011.
- [36] Matthew Brett, Michael Hanke, Chris Markiewicz, Marc-Alexandre Côté, Paul McCarthy, Chris Cheng, et al. nipy/nibabel: 2.3.1, October 2018.
- [37] Alexandre Abraham, Fabian Pedregosa, Michael Eickenberg, Philippe Gervais, Andreas Mueller, Jean Kossaifi, et al. Machine learning for neuroimaging with scikit-learn. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics*, 8, February 2014.
- [38] F. Pedregosa, G. Varoquaux, A. Gramfort, V. Michel, B. Thirion, O. Grisel, et al. Scikitlearn: Machine learning in Python. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 12:2825–2830, October 2011.
- [39] David W. Scott. On optimal and data-based histograms. *Biometrika*, 66(3):605–610, December 1979.
- [40] Skipper Seabold and Josef Perktold. Statsmodels: Econometric and statistical modeling with Python. In 9th Python in Science Conference. June 2010.
- [41] Travis E. Oliphant. Python for scientific computing. Computing in Science & Engineering, 9(3):10–20, June 2007.
- [42] J Scott Long and Laurie H Ervin. Using heteroscedasticity consistent standard errors in the linear regression model. *The American Statistician*, 54(3):217–224, August 2000.
- [43] Seung Wook Oh, Julie A Harris, Lydia Ng, Brent Winslow, Nicholas Cain, Stefan Mihalas, et al. A mesoscale connectome of the mouse brain. *Nature*, 508(7495):207, April 2014.

- [44] Tina Segessemann, Markus Rudin, and Horea-Ioan Ioanas. Abi connectivity data package generator, October 2019.
- [45] Horea-Ioan Ioanas, Bechara Saab, and Markus Rudin. Gentoo linux for neuroscience - a replicable, flexible, scalable, rolling-release environment that provides direct access to development software. *Research Ideas and Outcomes*, 3:e12095, February 2017.
- [46] Horea-Ioan Ioanas, Bechara John Saab, and Markus Rudin. Source Code for "A Whole-Brain Map and Assay Parameter Analysis of Mouse VTA Dopaminergic Activation", June 2019.
- [47] Krzysztof J Gorgolewski, Tibor Auer, Vince D Calhoun, R Cameron Craddock, Samir Das, Eugene P Duff, et al. The brain imaging data structure, a format for organizing and describing outputs of neuroimaging experiments. *Scientific Data*, 3:160044, June 2016.
- [48] Horea-Ioan Ioanas, Bechara John Saab, and Markus Rudin. BIDS Data for "A Whole-Brain Map and Assay Parameter Analysis of Mouse VTA Dopaminergic Activation", June 2019.
- [49] Horea-Ioan Ioanas and Markus Rudin. Reproducible self-publishing for Python-based research. EuroSciPy, August 2018.
- [50] Weixing X. Pan, Tianyi Mao, and Joshua T. Dudman. Inputs to the dorsal striatum of the mouse reflect the parallel circuit architecture of the forebrain. *Frontiers in Neuroanatomy*, 4, December 2010.
- [51] E. E. Benarroch. Periaqueductal gray: An interface for behavioral control. *Neurology*, 78(3):210– 217, January 2012.
- [52] Ana Aransay, Claudia Rodríguez-López, María García-Amado, Francisco Clascá, and Lucía Prensa. Long-range projection neurons of the mouse ventral tegmental area: a single-cell axon tracing analysis. *Frontiers in Neuroanatomy*, 9, May 2015.
- [53] Howard L. Fields, Gregory O. Hjelmstad, Elyssa B. Margolis, and Saleem M. Nicola. Ventral tegmental area neurons in learned appetitive behavior and positive reinforcement. *Annual Re*view of Neuroscience, 30(1):289–316, July 2007.
- [54] Satoshi Ikemoto. Dopamine reward circuitry: Two projection systems from the ventral midbrain to the nucleus accumbens-olfactory tubercle complex. *Brain Research Reviews*, 56(1):27– 78, November 2007.

2023-09-09

Page **9** of 21

	988	
	Whole-Brain opto-fMRI Map of Mouse VTA Dopaminergic Activation Reflects Structural Projections with Small but Significant Deviations	
[5	5] Gonzalo E. Torres, Raul R. Gainetdinov, and Marc G. Caron. Plasma membrane monoamine transporters: structure, regulation and function. <i>Nature Reviews Neuroscience</i> , 4(1):13–25, Jan- uary 2003.	
	2023-0 3- 29 Page 10 of 2	21



2023-09-09

Page **11** of 21







In the rejected implant group, and generates similar but weaker contrasts for VTA seed-based analysis. The figures show volumetric population t-statistic maps (a, e, c) thresholded at $t \ge 3$ and centered on the VTA seed-based analysis. The figures show of activation along atlas parcellation regions (b, d, f). (a) Second-level t-statistic map for block-stimulus-evoked activity in best implant group animals (corrected for the wild type control response). (b) Distribution densities of statistic values from block-stimulus-evoked activity analysis in best implant group animals (corrected for the wild type control response). (b) Distribution densities of statistic values from block-stimulus-evoked activity analysis in best implant group animals (corrected for the wildtype control response). Depicted are the 10 most strongly activated areas. (c) Second-level t-statistic map for block-stimulus-evoked activity in rejected implant group animals (corrected for the wild type control response). (d) Distribution densities of statistic values from block-stimulus-evoked activity analysis in rejected implant group animals (corrected for the wild type control response). (d) Distribution densities of statistic values from block-stimulus-evoked activity analysis in rejected implant group animals (corrected for the wild type control response). Depicted are the 10 most strongly activated areas. (e) Second-level t-statistic map for VTA seed-based functional connectivity during block stimulation in best implant group animals (VTA region in green). (f) Distribution densities of statistic values from seed-based functional connectivity analysis of best implant group animal block stimulation scans. Depicted are the 10 most strongly activated areas.

2023-09-09

Page **13** of 21



2023-09-09

Page **14** of 21



994

Whole-Brain opto-fMRI Map of Mouse VTA Dopaminergic Activation Reflects Structural Projections with Small but Significant Deviations

Supplementary Materials

Onset	Duration	Frequency	Pulse Width
[s]	[s]	[Hz]	[s]
$ 182.0 \\ 332.0 \\ 482.0 \\ 632.0 \\ 782.0 \\ 932.0 \\ 1082.0 \\ 1232.0 \\ 11232.0 \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 20.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 20.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 20.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.005\\ 0.$

Onset [s]	Duration [s]	Frequency [Hz]	Pulse Width [s]
150.0000	20.0	15.0	0.005
280.0000	20.0	25.0	0.005
410.0000	20.0	15.0	0.010
540.0000	20.0	25.0	0.010
670.0000	20.0	15.0	0.005
799.9999	20.0	25.0	0.005
930.0000	20.0	15.0	0.010
1060.0000	20.0	25.0	0.010
1190.0000	20.0	15.0	0.005
1320.0000	20.0	25.0	0.005
1450.0000	20.0	15.0	0.010
1580.0000	20.0	25.0	0.010

 $\label{eq:table S1: Block stimulation protocol, coded "CogB".$

Onset [s]	Duration [s]	Frequency [Hz]	Pulse Width [s]
180.0	20.0	20.0	0.005
310.0	20.0	20.0	0.005
480.0	20.0	20.0	0.005
630.0	20.0	20.0	0.005
780.0	20.0	20.0	0.005
930.0	20.0	20.0	0.005
1080.0	20.0	20.0	0.005
1230.0	20.0	20.0	0.005

Table S2: Block stimulation protocol, coded "CogBr".

Onset [s]	Duration [s]	Frequency [Hz]	Pulse Width [s]
192.0 342.0 492.0 642.0 792.0 942.0	30.0 30.0 30.0 30.0 30.0 30.0 30.0	$20.0 \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.005 \\ 0.005 \\ 0.005 \\ 0.005 \\ 0.005 \\ 0.005 \\ 0.005 \end{array}$
$1092.0 \\ 1242.0$	$\begin{array}{c} 30.0\\ 30.0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20.0 \\ 20.0 \end{array}$	$0.005 \\ 0.005$

Table S3: Block stimulation protocol, coded "CogBI".

Onset [s]	Duration [s]	Frequency [Hz]	Pulse Width [s]
$ 180.0 \\ 330.0 \\ 480.0 \\ 630.0 \\ 780.0 \\ 930.0 \\ 1080.0 \\ $	$ \begin{array}{r} 8.0 \\ 10.0 \\ 12.0 \\ 14.0 \\ 16.0 \\ 28.0 \\ 20.0 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 20.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 20.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.005\\ 0.005\\ 0.005\\ 0.005\\ 0.005\\ 0.005\\ 0.005\\ 0.005\\ 0.005\\ \end{array}$
1230.0	20.0 22.0	20.0	0.005

Table S4: Block stimulation protocol, coded ``CogBm''.

Table 33. Block stimulation protocol, coded Cogivini	Table S5:	Block	stimulation	protocol,	coded	"CogMwf	".
--	-----------	-------	-------------	-----------	-------	---------	----

Onset [s]	Duration [s]	Frequency [Hz]	Pulse Width [s]
190.0	0.8	25.0	0.005
192.0	0.8	25.0	0.005
194.0	0.8	25.0	0.005
196.0	0.8	25.0	0.005
290.0	0.8	25.0	0.005
292.0	0.8	25.0	0.005
294.0	0.8	25.0	0.005
296.0	0.8	25.0	0.005
390.0	0.8	25.0	0.005
392.0	0.8	25.0	0.005
394.0	0.8	25.0	0.005
396.0	0.8	25.0	0.005
490.0	0.8	25.0	0.005
492.0	0.8	25.0	0.005
494.0	0.8	25.0	0.005
496.0	0.8	25.0	0.005
590.0	0.8	25.0	0.005
592.0	0.8	25.0	0.005
594.0	0.8	25.0	0.005
596.0	0.8	25.0	0.005

Table S6: Phasic stimulation protocol, coded "CogP".

Onset	Duration	Frequency	Pulse Width
		[U _a]	
[5]	[s]	[nz]	[S]
50.0	1.0	20.0	0.005
90.0	1.0	20.0	0.005
130.0	1.0	20.0	0.005
170.0	1.0	20.0	0.005
210.0	1.0	20.0	0.005
250.0	1.0	20.0	0.005
290.0	1.0	20.0	0.005
330.0	1.0	20.0	0.005
370.0	1.0	20.0	0.005
410.0	1.0	20.0	0.005
450.0	1.0	20.0	0.005
490.0	1.0	20.0	0.005
530.0	1.0	20.0	0.005
570.0	1.0	20.0	0.005
610.0	1.0	20.0	0.005

Table S7: Phasic stimulation protocol, coded "JPogP".

2023-09-09

Page **16** of 21

Whole-Brain opto-fMRI Map of Mouse VTA Dopaminergic Activation Reflects Structural Projections with Small but Significant Deviations

In a linear modelling of the implant coordinate variables, the VTA mean t statistic is found sensitive only to the stimulation protocol category ($F_{1,59} = 39.61\,pp$ =2.228 ±010⁴⁹), bbunotothets is immulation target depthe $f_{h,56}$ ($F_{T,6}$, θ_{487} , η_{12} =68.49), ±h0.28), utbic ost in anglet prost carget cpiest (dA) teriord (FA) ex (drid in a terior difference) ($\mathcal{P}_{h,59} = 0.307$, and the first the information of the theorem of the disputation of

Page **17** of 21

2023-09-09





Figure S2: No negative activation patterns are salient upon block VTA stimulation, and no coherent activation patterns of any sort after phasic VTA stimulation. Depicted are t-statistic maps (thresholded at $|t| \ge 3$) of second-level analyses, divided by stimulation category and binning all implant coordinates. Slices are centered on the VTA coordinates (RAS = 0.5/-3.2/-4.5) and the largest cluster, respectively. All maps are adjusted for the wild type control stimulation effects.

2023-09-09

Page **18** of 21





(d) Block stimulation of rostralmost implant category group, centered on VTA.

t (e) Block stimulation of caudalmost implant category group, centered on largest cluster.

(f) PA-coordinate implant classification (dotted markers indicate rostralmost category).

Figure S3: PA-coordinate-based classification does not show a better projection segmentation than block trial-based classification. Depicted are t-statistic maps (centerd on largest cluster, thresholded at $t \ge 3$) of the second-level analysis for block stimulation protocols, divided into best and rejected (a, b), or rostralmost and caudalmost (d, e). All maps are adjusted for the wild type control stimulation effects.



(a) Block stimulation of best implant category group

(b) Block stimulation of rejected implant category group.

Figure S4: The uncorrected population-level response to block stimulation does not qualitatively differ from the wild type control corrected results in figs. 3a and 3c. Depicted are wildtype-control-uncorrected t-statistic maps (thresholded at $t \ge 3$) of the second-level analysis for block stimulation protocols, divided by implant category group. Slices are centered on the VTA region of interest.

2023-09-09

8.8

6.6

<mark>8.8</mark>

2.2

0



(c) Distribution densities of t-statistic values in the 10 most strongly activated areas.

Figure S5: Block stimulation in wild type control animals produces no large activation clusters, yet scattered activation hints at some visual excitation and heating artefacts. Depicted are volumetric population t-statistic maps (a, b) — thresholded at $t \ge 3$, as well as a break-down of activation along atlas parcellation regions (c).



Figure S6: Depicted are t-statistic maps (thresholded at t \geq 3) of the second-level analysis for block stimulation task VTA seed functional connectivity, observed in the best implant category, corrected for the negative control baseline. Slices are centered on the VTA coordinates (RAS = 0.5/ - 3.2/ - 4.5) and the largest cluster, respectively. This comparison is only provided for the sake of completeness and analogy with the stimulus-evoked analysis. Conceptually this comparison is not of primary interest, since seed-based functional connectivity attempts to include precisely the baseline functioning of the system into the evaluation.

2023-09-09 Page **20** of 21



2023-09-09

Page **21** of 21